

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/04/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/613,480	07/03/2003	Xi Liu	NTD 207-KFM	5947
75	590 10/04/2004		EXAM	INER
Karl F. Milde,	Jr., Esq.	PEDDER, DENNIS H		
MILDE & HOR	FBERG, L.L.P.			
Suite 460	,	-	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
10 Bank Street			3612	
White Plains, 1	√Y 10606	•	D. TE. 14.11 ED. 10/01/000	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/613,480	LIU, XI	5"			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Dennis H. Pedder	3612				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Au	ugust 2004 and 14 September 20	<u>004</u> .				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowar	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		e merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims							
. 4) 	Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
•	6) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected.						
•	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
		ciccion requirement.					
• •	ion Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority	under 35 II S C & 110						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attach	, (*(e)						
Attachmen	n(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notic	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate	0.450)			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ratent Application (P10	U-154)			

Application/Control Number: 10/613,480

Art Unit: 3612

DETAILED ACTION

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The function of energy-absorbing bearings is not understood. Bearings are used to reduce friction and no movement involving friction is understood in this regard from the disclosure.

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

"Pin hole", claim 1, third line from the end lacks clear antecedent.

"The backrest", claim 1, fourth line from the end lacks clear antecedent.

It is not clear what relationship the energy-absorbing bearings and energy-absorbing device have in claim 1. Are they the same structure without antecedent?

Art Unit: 3612

"Larger than the normalized value that is required" is an indefinite expression, one that is not clearly determinable for infringement, claim 12.

In general, the claims should have been replaced by a clear recitation of the invention, unfortunately not yet done.

Drawings

5. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the details of the interaction of the device and seat as in claim 1, the frame connected to the floor of the cab, claim 1, the seat backrest and bracket, claim 1, inner bearings ... for contacting the upper surface of the rails, claim 1, inner bearings ... lower surface of the rails, claim 1, axial orientation is same..., claim 2, outer diameter ... outer bearings is larger than... inner bearings, claim 9, square shape... longer ..., claim 11, must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered. All claimed aspects of the invention should be shown to enhance understanding.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement

Application/Control Number: 10/613,480

Art Unit: 3612

Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

6. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(b) because they are incomplete. 37 CFR 1.83(b) reads as follows:

When the invention consists of an improvement on an old machine the drawing must when possible exhibit, in one or more views, the improved portion itself, disconnected from the old structure, and also in another view, so much only of the old structure as will suffice to show the connection of the invention therewith.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/613,480 Page 5

Art Unit: 3612

The present drawings illustrate a subcombination of the invention with no relationship to the seat. Nor does the reference to an earlier patent in the specification illustrate this invention in relation to a seat.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 9/14/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Firstly, the marked up copy of the claims has been located attached to the specification amendment of 8/20/2004.

Secondly, this application is borderline not understandable. It is not clear if patent protection can be offered in exchange for such a confusing presentation of ideas. Applicant has chosen to marginally amend the claims by deleting reference numerals, not at all helpful in understanding that which apparently does not translate well. Applicant, should prosecution continue, may wish to consider filing an RCE to once again try to present this invention in clearly understandable form with drawings that clearly illustrate the claimed structure and function. Applicant may wish to limit the number of claims to reduce the burden of clearly writing the claims.

It is noted that claim 13 has been amended in a manner not consistent with Rule 121.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/613,480 Page 6

Art Unit: 3612

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dennis H. Pedder whose telephone number is (703) 308-2178. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30-2:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn D. Dayoan can be reached on (703) 308-3102. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dennis H. Pedder Primary Examiner Art Unit 3612

9/28/04

Application/Control Number: 10/613,480

Art Unit: 3612

DHP 9/28/2004 Page 7